Central bank liquidity support, bank lending, and the end of currency competition

Anna Grodecka-Messi and Xin Zhang

Sveriges Riksbank¹ Conference on Monetary and Financial History: Lessons for the 21st Century, Stockholm

21 November 2024

¹The views expressed in this presentation are solely the responsibility of the authors and should not be interpreted as reflecting the views of the Sveriges Riksbank

Grodecka-Messi & Zhang (Riksbank)

End of Currency Competition

• Decline in public money: private vs public money

- Decline in public money: private vs public money
- CBDC

- Decline in public money: private vs public money
- CBDC
- Private money and economic development
- Withdrawal of private issuance rights: shock to banks' franchise value

- Decline in public money: private vs public money
- CBDC
- Private money and economic development
- Withdrawal of private issuance rights: shock to banks' franchise value
- Equivalence of private and public monetary systems requires central bank transfers (Brunnermeier and Niepelt, 2019)

- Decline in public money: private vs public money
- CBDC
- Private money and economic development
- Withdrawal of private issuance rights: shock to banks' franchise value
- Equivalence of private and public monetary systems requires central bank transfers (Brunnermeier and Niepelt, 2019)
- Few empirical studies on the topic, most theoretical literature

- Decline in public money: private vs public money
- CBDC
- Private money and economic development
- Withdrawal of private issuance rights: shock to banks' franchise value
- Equivalence of private and public monetary systems requires central bank transfers (Brunnermeier and Niepelt, 2019)
- Few empirical studies on the topic, most theoretical literature
- **Our paper:** Empirical study of a shift from private to public money in the presence of central bank transfers

Research question

- Does the loss of note issuance rights affect business models of private banks?
- Can central bank transfers mitigate this impact?

- Study the effects of the 1897 Riksbank Act
- Gather monthly data for all commercial banks in Sweden 1894M01-1911M12: balance sheet, price and profitability (annual) data
- Two types of commercial banks in 1890s Sweden \Rightarrow DiD setup

- Study the effects of the 1897 Riksbank Act
- Gather monthly data for all commercial banks in Sweden 1894M01-1911M12: balance sheet, price and profitability (annual) data
- Two types of commercial banks in 1890s Sweden \Rightarrow DiD setup What do we find?
- Returns negatively affected

- Study the effects of the 1897 Riksbank Act
- Gather monthly data for all commercial banks in Sweden 1894M01-1911M12: balance sheet, price and profitability (annual) data
- $\bullet\,$ Two types of commercial banks in 1890s Sweden $\Rightarrow\,$ DiD setup What do we find?
- Returns negatively affected
- Bank intermediation mostly unaffected

- Study the effects of the 1897 Riksbank Act
- Gather monthly data for all commercial banks in Sweden 1894M01-1911M12: balance sheet, price and profitability (annual) data
- $\bullet\,$ Two types of commercial banks in 1890s Sweden $\Rightarrow\,$ DiD setup What do we find?
- Returns negatively affected
- Bank intermediation mostly unaffected
- Preferential liquidity support partially offsets the loss of seigniorage
- Shift towards safe collateral

Literature

- Private vs public money: Gorton and Zhang (2022), Rolnick and Weber (1983), Selgin (1988), Cavalcanti and Wallace (1999), Monnet and Sanches (2015), Fernandez- Villaverde and Sanches (2019).
- Swedish writings: Brave (2005), Ögren (2006), Jonung (2021), Kenny and Ögren (2021),
- Empirical studies for other countries: Canada: Grodecka-Messi and Zhang (2023), US: Xu and Yang (2024)
- CBDC: Andolfatto (2021), Whited et al. (2022), Chiu et al. (2023), Keister and Sanches (2022), Williamson (2021), Fernandez-Villaverde et al. (2021)
- Banks' franchise value: Demsetz et al. (1996); Hellmann et al. (2000), Monnet and Sanches (2015)
- Central bank liquidity support and collateralized lending: Bekkum et al. (2018), Hüttl and Kaldorf (2024), Repullo (2005), Brunnermeier and Niepelt (2019), Rieder (2023), Chen and Filippin (2024), Gorton and Metrick (2013), Bordo (2014), Anbil and Vossmeyer (2019), Vossmeyer (2019)

Literature

- Private vs public money: Gorton and Zhang (2022), Rolnick and Weber (1983), Selgin (1988), Cavalcanti and Wallace (1999), Monnet and Sanches (2015), Fernandez- Villaverde and Sanches (2019).
- Swedish writings: Brave (2005), Ögren (2006), Jonung (2021), Kenny and Ögren (2021),
- Empirical studies for other countries: Canada: Grodecka-Messi and Zhang (2023), US: Xu and Yang (2024)
- CBDC: Andolfatto (2021), Whited et al. (2022), Chiu et al. (2023), Keister and Sanches (2022), Williamson (2021), Fernandez-Villaverde et al. (2021)
- Banks' franchise value: Demsetz et al. (1996); Hellmann et al. (2000), Monnet and Sanches (2015)
- Central bank liquidity support and collateralized lending: Bekkum et al. (2018), Hüttl and Kaldorf (2024), Repullo (2005), Brunnermeier and Niepelt (2019), Rieder (2023), Chen and Filippin (2024), Gorton and Metrick (2013), Bordo (2014), Anbil and Vossmeyer (2019), Vossmeyer (2019)

Sveriges Riksbank











1701: first banknotes (transport bills)

1874: first SEK notes printed









1701: first banknotes (transport bills)

1874: first SEK notes printed

Commercial banks

SVERIGES







1701: first banknotes (transport bills)

1874: first SEK notes printed

Commercial banks

Enskilda banker

Unlimited liability banks (ULBs)

- Emerged in 1831
- Right to print notes



Riksbank and commercial banks in Sweden, 1890s



6/22

Riksbank's note share in public bank note circulation, 1833-1911



Riksbank's note share in public bank note circulation, 1833-1911







 Liquidity support a fraction of note issuance in Jan 1896



8/22



8 / 22





Grodecka-Messi & Zhang (Riksbank) End of



Liquidity support by the Riksbank



▶ Details

9/22

Stylized ULB balance sheet before 1897

Stylized ULB balance sheet after 1906

| Assets | Liabilities | Assets | Liabilities |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--|
| Gold G_t | Banknotes N_t | Riksbank notes Rb_t | Deposits D_t |
| Private loans L_t | Deposits D_t | Private loans $L_{n,t}$ | Equity E_t |
| | Equity E_t | | Loans from the Riksbank $Rb_{loans,t}$ |

• Lower share of interest-free liabilities \Rightarrow lower profits, cet. par.

$$\Pi_{t,o} = i_{p,t} L_t - i_{d,t} * D_t \equiv i_{p,t} L_t - i_{d,t} * (G_t + L_t - N_t - E_t)$$
(1)

$$\Pi_{t,n} = i_{p,t} L_t - i_{d,t} * D_t - i_{rb_t} 0.5 R b_{loans,t}$$
(2)

• Bank wishing to keep its profit levels has three choices.

| Stylized ULB balance sheet bet |
|--------------------------------|
|--------------------------------|

Stylized ULB balance sheet after 1906

| Assets | Liabilities | Assets | Liabilities |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--|
| Gold G_t | Banknotes N_t | Riksbank notes Rb | Deposits D_t |
| Private loans L_t | Deposits D_t | Private loans $L_{n,t}$ | Equity E_t |
| | Equity E_t | , | Loans from the Riksbank $Rb_{loans,t}$ |

• Lower share of interest-free liabilities \Rightarrow lower profits, cet. par.

$$\Pi_{t,o} = i_{p,t} L_t - i_{d,t} * D_t \equiv i_{p,t} L_t - i_{d,t} * (G_t + L_t - N_t - E_t)$$
(1)

$$\Pi_{t,n} = \uparrow i_{p,t} L_t - i_{d,t} * D_t - i_{rb_t} 0.5 Rb_{loans,t}$$
⁽²⁾

Bank wishing to keep its profit levels has three choices.

Stylized ULB balance sheet before 1897

Stylized ULB balance sheet after 1906

| Assets | Liabilities | Assets | Liabilities |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--|
| Gold G_t | Banknotes N_t | Riksbank notes Rb_t | Deposits D_t |
| Private loans L_t | Deposits D_t | Private loans $L_{n,t}$ | Equity E_t |
| | Equity E_t | | Loans from the Riksbank $Rb_{loans,t}$ |

• Lower share of interest-free liabilities \Rightarrow lower profits, cet. par.

$$\Pi_{t,o} = i_{p,t} L_t - i_{d,t} * D_t \equiv i_{p,t} L_t - i_{d,t} * (G_t + L_t - N_t - E_t)$$
(1)

$$\Pi_{t,n} = i_{p,t} L_t - \downarrow i_{d,t} * D_t - i_{rb_t} 0.5 Rb_{loans,t}$$
⁽²⁾

• Bank wishing to keep its profit levels has three choices.

Stylized ULB balance sheet before 1897

Stylized ULB balance sheet after 1906

| Assets | Liabilities | Assets | Liabilities |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--|
| Gold G_t | Banknotes N_t | Riksbank notes Rb_t | Deposits D_t |
| Private loans L_t | Deposits D_t | Private loans $L_{n,t}$ | Equity E_t |
| | Equity E_t | | Loans from the Riksbank $Rb_{loans,t}$ |

• Lower share of interest-free liabilities \Rightarrow lower profits, cet. par.

$$\Pi_{t,o} = i_{p,t} L_t - i_{d,t} * D_t \equiv i_{p,t} L_t - i_{d,t} * (G_t + L_t - N_t - E_t)$$
(1)

$$\Pi_{t,n} = i_{p,t} L_t - i_{d,t} * D_t \downarrow - i_{rb_t} 0.5 Rb_{loans,t}$$
⁽²⁾

• Bank wishing to keep its profit levels has three choices.

| Stylized | ULB | balance | sheet | before | 1897 |
|----------|-----|---------|-------|--------|------|
|----------|-----|---------|-------|--------|------|

Stylized ULB balance sheet after 1906

| Assets | Liabilities | Assets | Liabilities |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--|
| Gold G_t | Banknotes N_t | Riksbank notes Rb_t | Deposits D_t |
| Private loans L_t | Deposits D_t | Private loans $L_{n,t}$ | Equity E_t |
| | Equity E_t | | Loans from the Riksbank $Rb_{loans,t}$ |

• Lower share of interest-free liabilities \Rightarrow lower profits, cet. par.

$$\Pi_{t,o} = i_{p,t} L_t - i_{d,t} * D_t \equiv i_{p,t} L_t - i_{d,t} * (G_t + L_t - N_t - E_t)$$
(1)

$$\Pi_{t,n} = i_{p,t} L_t - i_{d,t} * D_t - i_{rb_t} 0.5 R b_{loans,t}$$
(2)

- Bank wishing to keep its profit levels has three choices.
- Alternatively, profits go down.
- Results can depend on the degree of competition.

Our hypotheses

Hypothesis 1

Affected banks experience a decrease in profitability and/or they reduce lending to diminish the size of the balance sheet. In competitive areas, we expect the banks to suffer a loss in order not to loose the market share. Bank disintermediation is more likely for banks operating in remote areas.

Hypothesis 2

Loans from the Riksbank should have a mitigating effect on the fall in profitability and/or bank disintermediation.

Hypothesis 3

Affected banks increase their risk-taking, but the extent thereof is limited due to their unlimited liability status. Treated ULBs that change their status to LLB exert more risky behavior. Lending interest rates and interest margins increase to safeguard declining returns.

- Sammandrag av de solidariska bankbolagens och bankaktiebolagens uppgifter 1894M01-1911M12
- High quality, audited and publicly available even in 1890s

- Sammandrag av de solidariska bankbolagens och bankaktiebolagens uppgifter 1894M01-1911M12
- High quality, audited and publicly available even in 1890s
- Monthly balance sheet and interest rate data
- Annual profit data

- Sammandrag av de solidariska bankbolagens och bankaktiebolagens uppgifter 1894M01-1911M12
- High quality, audited and publicly available even in 1890s
- Monthly balance sheet and interest rate data
- Annual profit data
- 118 commercial banks. 14 433 observations

Januari 1904.

x Feelringer på räkninger med Diskusterade och köpta väslas 1.4 Invisings och vis Öfrigt myn mot hypotek of eller sasas, hic el Drike exidence. tookning i fast gillarde ouvetail culderest Same Same Same Same Server Same Krennt, | 6. Krenner, | 6. Krenner, | 6. Kroner, 6. Kroner, 6. Kroner, 6. Kroner, 6. Kroner. Kreast. Kreaser. Xressr. Krepar. Kroner, 4. Krenge, & Krange, 4. 31 677 26 510 413 10 9 165 209 10 141 1.611,271 1. Skåres enskilda bank 1 244 000 33,087 6 150 96.053 04 5 837 749 44 1 200 000-2,807,418 1,322,245 00 14,847,249 88 260,320-7 900 100 44 1 639 190 2. Värmlands enskilda bank 222,000 14,711 9,855 272 00 422,751 85 1,975,161 92 41,368.98 152.770 97 5,219,642 49 377-178,000 18,347 4 3,545 687,558 00 2.661.838 170,780 02 3,615,469 22 4 97.4 4 077 199 14 4 992 100 33,700 309.000 3. Konsarbergs caskilda bank 1.065 334,895 24 178,285 07 479,801 16 51,584 22 6,621,929 74 9.882 100.001 4.108.650 75.100 116 1,395,705 118 577,250 4. Östereitlands enskilda banl 325.000-9914 15,968 22 54.722.93 1.450 45,005 70 4,859,434 44 19,673 19,633,834 11 347.548 11 1 1 1 2 2 7 254,305 78 61,220 8,782,750,22 125 382,099 5 Sectionds enablide bank 355,177,53 375,705 95 674,821 74 449,414 75 6 Ocalum genekilde hank 7 105 74 8.964 445 291 945 00 60.335 17 9.314 964 47 68 166 14 4,998,154 90 3,360,605 30,000 821,010 25 213,650 41 855 2 10 100 700 16 850 4 077 498 10 6.784,575 19 909 83244 472,025 100,000 --10.000 17,805 -89,296 ×1 2 026 025 658,712-11,237,124 18 4 878 520 7. Stockholms enskilds bank 3 640 122 45 12 404 MR 4594.10417 41,913 10 2,470,100 29,850 3,073,03411 60 1.573,700 25.000 2,250 2.087 84 197.097 00 463,074 25 1000 3,848,978 26 8. Norrkinings enskilds bank 800,000 96,712 51 8,720 \$6,510 24 853.113 10.459 7,879,829 14 41 170,761 as 512 3,771,944 41 845.800 576,195 -1.90 2. Hallands enskilds bank 75,88205 4,702 2,765 217.228 21 386,503 4 175,789 cc 70,100 10. Senderalis enskilda bank 1 729 500 ---30,600 17,585 1.065.109.18 405,745 58 1,042,583 88 143,864 ss 5,613,499 8.561 12.337.334 sc 125 2 223 572 14 352 7.441.845 453.000 309 7,985 990 \$6 1,790,900 6.019 8 979 196 16 103 199 44 100 9 693 175 120.997 49 1107 985 98.990 11. Enskilds banken i Vanersborg. 34.400 11,0000 620 17/64 242,780 cc 115,635 72 70,260 94 50.521 14 1 333.358 14 10,121,455 18 5,405,080 754,125 2,476,376 34 99 312,315 1,55 Skaraborgs läns enskilda bank. 146,000-25,000 1,090-100,552 84 295,762 12 94,565 21 88,861 53 3,941,749 17 3,995,680 08 65,130 13. Gåfleborgs enskilda bank 125.000 15,106 88 2.285 26 14 119.040 82 358,390 72 31.867 Sc 2,985 11 2,322,713 14 993 759 -742,518 20 711 14 4.146.993 9,761 6,807,537 48 5.278.008 ** 139,185 a.e 3,411,634 (4 818,950 2.42 14. Usolands enskilda hank 110.000 20.87611 13,510 245.627 46 42,422,68 16.170 389 158 07 7.430 640875014 18 001 2 2173.090 13 131.150 79 1.135.400 56 475,900 15. Kristinehamas enskilda bank 187,530 (1) 21,506 + 1.425 407.862.22 120 251 0 7 1 72 44 2.057.804

Solidariska bankbolag.

Tillgångar.

Grodecka-Messi & Zhang (Riksbank)

End of Currency Competition

21 November 2024

12 / 22

38

41

- 95

- Sammandrag av de solidariska bankbolagens och bankaktiebolagens uppgifter 1894M01-1911M12
- High quality, audited and publicly available even in 1890s
- Monthly balance sheet and interest rate data
- Annual profit data
- 118 commercial banks, 14 433 observations

| | | Upplånings-ränta: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------|--------------|---|--------------|--------|-------|-----------|-----------|--|--------|----|------|-----------------|---------|------|---------|-----|-----|---------|--------|--|--|--|
| | | à sparkasse- | | à sparkasse- | | à sparkasse- | | ă. | upp- of | sh (8- | | | | ă de | p o # 1 | tion | er n | ed uj | | gni | ngeti | d ef | | | |
| | | | rškning | ÷ | | räkning. | | | 1 min. | - 1 | | 2 młn | | | 3 m l n. | | | 4 mša. | - 1 | | 6 mla. | - 1 | | | |
| | | _ | Procent | | | Procent | | | Procest. | 1 | | Procen | ι. | | Procent. | | | Procent | | 1 | Process | | | | |
| 1 | Riksbanken | | | | | | | | Torona di | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Skånes enskilda bank | | | | | 21 | | | 3 | | | 3 | | | 4 | | | 5 | | | 5 | | | | |
| 2 | Vermlands d:o | į | - | | | 3 | | | 3 | | | 31 | | | 4 | | | 4} | | | 5 | | | | |
| 3 | Kopparbergs d:o | | _ | | | 3 | | | 31 | | | 4 | | | 41 | | | 45 | | | 5 | | | | |
| - 4 { | Östergötlands d:o | | 5 | | | 3 | | | 31 | | | 4 | | | 4} | | | 5 | | | 5 | | | | |
| 5 | Smålands d:o | | 4 \$ 5 | | | 21 | | | 3 | | | 31 | | | 4 | | | 41 | | | 5 | | | | |
| 6 | Örebro d:o | | 5 | | | 3 | | | 31 | | | 4 | | | 41 | | | 5 | | | 5 | | | | |
| 7 | Målareprovinsernas d:o | | 41 4 8 | · | | 3 | | | S1 | | | 4 | | | 41 | | | 4 | | | Б | | | | |
| 8 | Göteborgs d:o | | 5 | | | 3 | | | 31 | | | 4 | | | 43 | | | 5 | | | - | | | | |
| 9 | Stockholms d:o | | 5 | | | 3 | | | 31 | | | 4 | | | 4; | | | 5 | | | 5 | | | | |
| 10 | Norrköpings d:o | ! | 5 | | | 3 | | ····· | 31 | | | 4 | | | 41 | | | 5 | | | 5 | | | | |
| 11 | Hallands d:o | ļ | 5 | | | 21 | | | 3 | | | 31 | | | 4 | | | 5 | | | 5 | | | | |
| 12 | Sundsvalls d:o | · | 5 | | | 3 | | \ | 31 | | | 31 à : | £ | | 4 i 4i | | | 41 1 5 | | | 5 | | | | |
| 13 | Christianstads d:o | | 5 | | | 21 & 3 | | | 3 | | | 34 | | | 4 | | | 5 | | | 5 | | | | |
| 14 | Enskilda banken i Venersborg | } | | | | 3 | ****** | ····· | - | | | 3 | | | 4 | | | 5 | | | 5 | | | | |
| 15 | Skaraborgs läns enskilda bank | ···· | _ | | | 21 | | | 3 | | | 31 | | | 4 | | | 41 | | | 5 | (| | | |
| 16 | Gefleborgs enskilda bank | | 41 | | | 3 | | | 34 | | | 4 | | | 41 | <i></i> | | 5 | | | 5 | | | | |
| 17 | Uplands d:o | | | | | 3 | | · | | | | 4 | | | 41 | | | 5 | | | 5 | | | | |
| 18 | Christinehamns d:o | · | 4j à 5 | 5 | | 3 | | | 31 | | | 4 | | | 41 | | | 5 | | | 5 | | | | |
| 19 | Borås d:0 | | 5 | ••• | | 3 | | | 3 | | | 4 | | | 41 | | | 5 | | | 5 | | | | |
| 20 | Södermanlands d:o | ļ | 41 | | | 3 | | | 3 | | | 4 | | | 41 | | | 5 | | | 5 | | | | |
| 21 | Kalmar d:o | Į | 41 | | l | 21 | | | 34 | | | 4 | | | 41 | | | 5 | | | 5 | | | | |
| 22 | Gotlands d:o | | | | l | 21 | | | 31 | | | 4 | | | 41 | | | 5 | | | 5 | | | | |
| 23 | Bohus läns d:o | | 5 | | | 3 | | | 31 | | | 4 | | | 41 | | | 5 | | | Б | | | | |
| 24 | Hernösands d:o | | 5 | | | 2 à 3 | | | 21 1 4 | | | 21 8 1 | 1 | | S ± 41 | | | 5 | | | 5 | | | | |
| 25 | Helsinglands d:o | | 41 | | · | 2 à 3 | | | 31 | | | 4 | | | 41 | | | 5 | | | 5 | ·····í | | | |
| 26 | Norrbottens d:o | · ··· | 5 | | | 3 | | ¦ | | | | 4 | | | 41 | ••••• | | 5 | | | 5 | | | | |

Parallel trends assumption

$$y_{i,t} = \alpha + \sum_{\tau=1894}^{1911} \delta_{\tau} \operatorname{Treat}_{i} \times \operatorname{After}_{\tau,t} + \gamma X_{i,t} + \eta \operatorname{RB} \operatorname{Loan}_{i,t-j} + \delta_{i} + \eta_{t} + \epsilon_{i,t}, \quad (3)$$



Figure: Returns and lending activities of banks over the period 1894-1911

Difference-in-differences

 $y_{i,t} = \alpha + \beta \operatorname{Treat}_i \times \operatorname{After}_t + \gamma X_{i,t} + \eta \operatorname{RB} \operatorname{Loan}_{i,t-j} + \delta_i + \eta_t + \epsilon_{i,t}.$ (4)

- Treated banks (Treat) are banks that were ULBs in May 1897.
- Treatment time (After); May 1897, January 1899, August 1903, April 1906.
- Bank-level control variables include the logarithm of total asset, the dummy variable of unlimited liability banks, the cash ratio, the lending ratio, equity ratio, non-performing loans ratio, RB Loan ratio.

Summary statistics

Effects on bank profitability

Table: Effects on banks' profitability

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|----------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|---------|
| | ROE | ROA | ROC | Div% |
| | Pane | el A: 1903 | treatment d | ate |
| Treat x After | -0.010** | -0.001 | -0025*** | -0.018 |
| | (0.004) | (0.001) | (0.008) | (0.019) |
| Lagged RB Loan | 0.111** | 0.019* | 0.216** | 0.756 |
| | (0.051) | (0.011) | (0.084) | (0.726) |
| adj. <i>R</i> ² | 0.243 | 0.382 | 0.429 | 0.074 |
| | Pane | el B: 1906 | treatment d | ate |
| Treat x After | -0.011*** | -0.001 | -0.027*** | 0.003 |
| | (0.004) | (0.001) | (0.007) | (0.009) |
| Lagged RB Loan | 0.008 | 0.008 | -0.028 | 0.706 |
| | (0.042) | (0.009) | (0.079) | (0.693) |
| adj. <i>R</i> ² | 0.245 | 0.382 | 0.432 | 0.074 |
| Add. Controls | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| Ν | 1099 | 1099 | 1099 | 1098 |
| Bank FE | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| Time FE | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| Std. Err. | BANK | BANK | BANK | BANK |

Effects on bank profitability

Table: Effects on banks' profitability

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|----------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|---------|
| | ROE | ROA | ROC | Div% |
| | Pane | el A: 1903 | treatment d | ate |
| Treat x After | -0.010** | -0.001 | -0025*** | -0.018 |
| | (0.004) | (0.001) | (0.008) | (0.019) |
| Lagged RB Loan | 0(111** | 0.019* | 0.216** | 0.756 |
| | (0.051) | (0.011) | (0.084) | (0.726) |
| adj. <i>R</i> ² | 0.243 | 0.382 | 0.429 | 0.074 |
| | Pane | el B: 1906 | treatment d | ate |
| Treat x After | -0.011*** | -0.001 | -0.027*** | 0.003 |
| | (0.004) | (0.001) | (0.007) | (0.009) |
| Lagged RB Loan | 0.008 | 0.008 | -0.028 | 0.706 |
| | (0.042) | (0.009) | (0.079) | (0.693) |
| adj. <i>R</i> ² | 0.245 | 0.382 | 0.432 | 0.074 |
| Add. Controls | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| Ν | 1099 | 1099 | 1099 | 1098 |
| Bank FE | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| Time FE | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| Std. Err. | BANK | BANK | BANK | BANK |

Effects on portfolio allocation

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|
| | Lending/Assets | Prop. L. Ratio | Share L. Ratio | Deposit Ratio |
| | | Panel A: 1903 t | reatment date | |
| Treat x After | -0.011 | -0.017 | 0.012 | 0.010 |
| | (0.031) | (0.025) | (0.013) | (0.022) |
| Lagged RB Loan | 0.456* | 0.601*** | -0.016 | 0.057 |
| | (0.268) | (0.145) | (0.156) | (0.256) |
| adj. <i>R</i> ² | 0.705 | 0.734 | 0.683 | 0.760 |
| | | Panel B: 1906 t | reatment date | |
| Treat x After | -0.019 | -0.024 | 0.013 | 0.014 |
| | (0.027) | (0.020) | (0.013) | (0.020) |
| Lagged RB Loan | 0.276 | 0 382** | 0.106 | 0.185 |
| | (0.244) | (0.167) | (0.151) | (0.234) |
| adj. <i>R</i> ² | 0.705 | 0.735 | 0.683 | 0.760 |
| Add. Controls | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| Ν | 14328 | 14328 | 14328 | 14328 |
| Bank FE | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| Time FE | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| Std. Err. | BANK | BANK | BANK | BANK |

Table: Effects on banks' business activities

Effects on interest rates

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|----------------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Prop. Loan | ST Discount | Prop. Loan | 3-Month Dep. |
| | Int. Margin | Int. Margin | Int. Rate | Int. Rate |
| | | Panel A: 1903 | treatment da | te |
| Treat x After | 0 110** | 0.015 | 0.152*** | 0.063 |
| | (0.050) | (0.067) | (0.049) | (0.051) |
| Lagged RB Loan | -1.529** | -1.292 | -1.854*** | -0.728 |
| | (0.752) | (0.853) | (0.690) | (0.550) |
| adj. R ² | 0.688 | 0.698 | 0.879 | 0.895 |
| | | Panel B: 1906 | treatment da | te |
| Treat x After | 0.093* | 0.096 | 0.138*** | 0.029 |
| | (0.050) | (0.064) | (0.048) | (0.040) |
| Lagged RB Loan | -0.700 | -0.396 | -0.607 | -0.479 |
| | (0.727) | (0.809) | (0.729) | (0.658) |
| adj. <i>R</i> ² | 0.688 | 0.699 | 0.879 | 0.895 |
| Add. Controls | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| Ν | 13733 | 13794 | 14316 | 13798 |
| Bank FE | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| Time FE | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| Std. Err. | BANK | BANK | BANK | BANK |

Table: Effects on banks' interest rates and margins

Effects on interest rates

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| | Prop. Loan | ST Discount | Prop. Loan | 3-Month Dep. | | | |
| | Int. Margin | Int. Margin | Int. Rate | Int. Rate | | | |
| | Panel A: 1903 treatment date | | | | | | |
| Treat x After | 0(110** | * 0.015 0.152*** | | 0.063 | | | |
| | (0.050) | (0.067) | (0.049) | (0.051) | | | |
| Lagged RB Loan | -1.529** | -1.292 | -1(854*** | -0.728 | | | |
| | (0.752) | (0.853) | (0.690) | (0.550) | | | |
| adj. R ² | 0.688 | 0.698 | 0.879 | 0.895 | | | |
| | Panel B: 1906 treatment date | | | | | | |
| Treat x After | 0.093* | 0.096 | 0.138*** | 0.029 | | | |
| | (0.050) | (0.064) | (0.048) | (0.040) | | | |
| Lagged RB Loan | -0.700 | -0.396 | -0.607 | -0.479 | | | |
| | (0.727) | (0.809) | (0.729) | (0.658) | | | |
| adj. <i>R</i> ² | 0.688 | 0.699 | 0.879 | 0.895 | | | |
| Add. Controls | YES | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| Ν | 13733 | 13794 | 14316 | 13798 | | | |
| Bank FE | YES | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| Time FE | YES | YES | YES | YES | | | |
| Std Frr | BANK | BANK | BANK | BANK | | | |

Table: Effects on banks' interest rates and margins

The effect of competition

- Close-to-natural monopolies in the North due to geographical division of Sweden
- High Competition = 1 in highly populated southern regions of Sweden
- Match banks' headquarters with region



The effect of competition

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | |
|---------------------|--|----------|---------------------|---------|------------|----------|--|
| | ROE | ROC | Lending/Assets Prop | | Property | Property | |
| | | | Loan | | Int.Margin | Int.Rate | |
| | Panel A: 1903 treatment date, high competition | | | | | | |
| Treat × After | -0.010* | -0.021** | 0.006 | -0.017 | 0.094* | 0.103** | |
| | (0.005) | (0.009) | (0.041) | (0.036) | (0.055) | (0.048) | |
| N | 764 | 764 | 9902 | 9902 | 9330 | 9898 | |
| adj. R ² | 0.353 | 0.617 | 0.673 | 0.673 | 0.487 | 0.898 | |
| | Panel B: 1903 treatment date, low competition | | | | | | |
| Treat × After | -0.006 | -0.019 | -0.091** | -0.053* | 0.028 | 0.146 | |
| | (0.016) | (0.022) | (0.034) | (0.027) | (0.167) | (0.183) | |
| N | 335 | 335 | 4419 | 4419 | 4403 | 4418 | |
| adj. R ² | 0.179 | 0.249 | 0.866 | 0.889 | 0.630 | 0.841 | |
| Add. Controls | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | |
| Bank FE | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | |
| Time FE | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | |
| Std. Err. | BANK | BANK | BANK | BANK | BANK | BANK | |

Table: The role of competition for the results

The effect of competition

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | |
|---------------------|--|----------|----------------|---------|------------|----------|--|
| | ROE | ROC | Lending/Assets | Prop. | Property | Property | |
| | | | | Loan | Int.Margin | Int.Rate | |
| | Panel A: 1903 treatment date, high competition | | | | | | |
| Treat × After | -0.010* | -0.021** | 0.006 | -0.017 | 0.094* | 0.103** | |
| | (0.005) | (0.009) | (0.041) | (0.036) | (0.055) | (0.048) | |
| N | 764 | 764 | 9902 | 9902 | 9330 | 9898 | |
| adj. R ² | 0.353 | 0.617 | 0.673 | 0.673 | 0.487 | 0.898 | |
| | Panel B: 1903 treatment date, low competition | | | | | | |
| Treat × After | -0.006 | -0.019 | -0.091** | -0.053* | 0.028 | 0.146 | |
| | (0.016) | (0.022) | (0.034) | (0.027) | (0.167) | (0.183) | |
| N | 335 | 335 | 4419 | 4419 | 4403 | 4418 | |
| adj. R ² | 0.179 | 0.249 | 0.866 | 0.889 | 0.630 | 0.841 | |
| Add. Controls | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | |
| Bank FE | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | |
| Time FE | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | |
| Std. Err. | BANK | BANK | BANK | BANK | BANK | BANK | |

Table: The role of competition for the results

Variations of the model/robustness checks

• Performance during the 1907 crisis

- Bank-specific treatment intensities and treatment dates
- ULBs that became LLBs after the stop of note issuance
- Sample size: banks that existed in Jan 1894, banks that existed in Jan 1897, cutting the sample in April 1907
- Control variables interacted with After
- Multiple treatment dates

• The end of domestic paper currency competition in Sweden

- The end of domestic paper currency competition in Sweden
- Banks decrease profits and increase lending rates

- The end of domestic paper currency competition in Sweden
- Banks decrease profits and increase lending rates
- Riksbank loans cushion the losses
- Move towards safer collateral
- No excessive risk-taking and worse performance 1907

- The end of domestic paper currency competition in Sweden
- Banks decrease profits and increase lending rates
- Riksbank loans cushion the losses
- Move towards safer collateral
- No excessive risk-taking and worse performance 1907 Lessons for today
- Market power and geographical monopolies matter

- The end of domestic paper currency competition in Sweden
- Banks decrease profits and increase lending rates
- Riksbank loans cushion the losses
- Move towards safer collateral
- No excessive risk-taking and worse performance 1907 Lessons for today
- Market power and geographical monopolies matter
- Central bank transfers mitigate the impact of the shift

Thank you for your attention!

Liquidity support by the Riksbank

Table 1: Details on liquidity support by the Riksbank due to the 1897 law and its 1901 update

| Time period | Available facilities |
|--------------------|---|
| Jan 1899-May 1901 | 1. Open collateralized credit ^{a} for the value up to 50% of banks' notes |
| | outstanding on January 1896. ^b |
| | 2. Right to rediscount bills at the Riksbank for the value up to 50% of |
| | banks' notes outstanding on January 1896. ^c |
| June 1901-Nov 1903 | 1. Open collateralized credit ^{d} for the value up to 10% of banks' notes |
| | outstanding on January 1901. ^e |
| | 2. Right to rediscount bills at the Riksbank for the value up to 25% of |
| | banks' notes outstanding on January 1901. ^f |
| | 3. Uncollateralized loan for the value up to 65% of banks' notes out- |
| | standing on January 1901. ^g |
| Dec 1903-Nov 1909 | Liquidity support as specified for November 1903 should be reduced |
| | each year starting in December 1903 by one-eight part of its original |
| | amount. |
| Dec 1909 onwards | No specific liquidity support. |

Notes. Source: Flux (1910). Details are provided in the laws of May 27, 1897 and May 3, 1901. §41 of the Sveriges Riksbank Law (1897) specifies the liquidity support provided to individual note-issuing banks. All liquidity provisions were conditional on banks maintaining their branch offices that were in operation on January 1, 1896.

Figure: Liquidity support by the Riksbank to former note-issuing banks

▶ Back

1/2

| | Control | | Trea | ted | Differences | |
|---|-----------|------|-------|------|-------------|-----------|
| (lr)2-3 (lr)4-5 (lr)6-7 | mean | sd | mean | sd | diff | t |
| | 1894–1896 | | | | | |
| Return on Equity (ROE) | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.02 | -0.00 | (-0.01) |
| Return on Assets (ROA) | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | (1.32) |
| Return on Capital (ROC) | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.04 | -0.01 | (-1.31) |
| Dividend Ratio | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.01 | -0.00 | (-0.90) |
| log(Assets) | 15.27 | 1.41 | 16.52 | 0.71 | -1.26*** | (-23.71) |
| Cash Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | -0.01*** | (-116.02) |
| Notes to Liabilities | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.03 | -0.13*** | (-160.20) |
| Lending to Assets | 0.39 | 0.18 | 0.27 | 0.09 | 0.12*** | (18.51) |
| Property Lending Ratio | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.09*** | (18.94) |
| Share Lending Ratio | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.05 | -0.00 | (-0.61) |
| Deposit Ratio | 0.63 | 0.18 | 0.56 | 0.10 | 0.07*** | (9.95) |
| Equity Ratio | 0.22 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.04*** | (12.28) |
| Non-performing Loans to Assets | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | -0.00** | (-2.91) |
| Riksbank Loan Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | (.) |
| Property Loan Int. Margin | 1.29 | 0.37 | 1.36 | 0.30 | -0.07*** | (-4.32) |
| Long-term Discounted Bills Int. Margin | 1.50 | 0.52 | 1.46 | 0.35 | 0.04 | (1.83) |
| Short-term Discounted Bills Int. Margin | 1.71 | 0.56 | 1.56 | 0.40 | 0.15*** | (6.44) |
| Propety Loan Int. Rate | 4.84 | 0.45 | 4.77 | 0.32 | 0.08*** | (4.18) |
| 3 M Discounted Bill Int. Rate | 4.71 | 0.69 | 4.38 | 0.40 | 0.33*** | (12.30) |
| Current Account Int. Rate | 2.04 | 0.27 | 1.88 | 0.21 | 0.16*** | (13.98) |
| 3 Month Deposit Int. Rate | 2.99 | 0.26 | 2.82 | 0.27 | 0.17*** | (13.73) |
| 6 Month Deposit Int. Rate | 3.58 | 0.31 | 3.40 | 0.21 | 0.18*** | (14.25) |

Table: Summary statistics for treated and control banks in separate periods

Back

2 / 2